1	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP		
	Diane M. Doolittle (CA Bar No. 142046)	Andrew H. Schapiro (admitted pro hac vice)	
2	dianedoolittle@quinnemanuel.com	andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com	
3	Sara Jenkins (CA Bar No. 230097)	Teuta Fani (admitted pro hac vice)	
	sarajenkins@quinnemanuel.com	teutafani@quinnemanuel.com	
4	555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor	191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700	
	Redwood Shores, CA 94065	Chicago, IL 60606	
5	Telephone: (650) 801-5000	Telephone: (312) 705-7400	
6	Facsimile: (650) 801-5100	Facsimile: (312) 705-7401	
7	Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605)	Josef Ansorge (admitted pro hac vice)	
_	stephenbroome@quinnemanuel.com	josefansorge@quinnemanuel.com	
8	Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526)	Xi ("Tracy") Gao (CA Bar No. 326266)	
9	violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com	tracygao@quinnemanuel.com	
	Marie Hayrapetian (CA Bar No. 315797)	Carl Spilly (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)	
10	mariehayrapetian@quinnemanuel.com	carlspilly@quinnemanuel.com	
	865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor	1300 I Street NW, Suite 900	
11	Los Angeles, CA 90017	Washington D.C., 20005	
12	Telephone: (213) 443-3000 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100	Telephone: (202) 538-8000 Facsimile: (202) 538-8100	
	racsimile. (213) 443-3100	Facsinne: (202) 338-8100	
13	Jomaire Crawford (admitted pro hac vice)	Jonathan Tse (CA Bar No. 305468)	
14	jomairecrawford@quinnemanuel.com	jonathantse@quinnemanuel.com	
	51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor	50 California Street, 22nd Floor	
15	New York, NY 10010	San Francisco, CA 94111	
1.0	Telephone: (212) 849-7000	Telephone: (415) 875-6600	
16	Facsimile: (212) 849-7100	Facsimile: (415) 875-6700	
17	Counsel for Defendant Google LLC		
18	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CAI	LIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION	
20	CHACOM DROWN, WHILLIAM DWATTE	Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK	
21	CHASOM BROWN, WILLIAM BYATT, JEREMY DAVIS, CHRISTOPHER	GOOGLE LLC'S ADMINISTRATIVE	
22	CASTILLO, and MONIQUE TRUJILLO,	MOTION TO SEAL PORTIONS OF THE	
23	individually and on behalf of all similarly situated,	MAY 3, 2022 HEARING TRANSCRIPT RE SPECIAL MASTER OBJECTIONS	
23		(DKT. 627)	
24	Plaintiffs,	(======================================	
25	v.	Referral: Hon. Susan van Keulen, USMJ	
26	GOOGLE LLC,		
27	Defendant.		
28		I Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SV	

Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK

GOOGLE LLC'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL PORTIONS OF THE MAY 3, 2022 HEARING TRANSCRIPT

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5, Defendant Google LLC ("Google") respectfully seeks to seal the following portions of the May 3, 2022 Hearing Transcript re: Special Master Objections (Dkt. 627) ("Transcript"), which contain Google's confidential and proprietary information, including details related to Google's internal projects, internal identifiers, data signals and logs, and their proprietary functionalities, as well as internal metrics. This information is highly confidential and should be protected. The Court previously granted Google's motions to seal the same or substantively similar information it seeks to seal now, including in Dkt. Nos. 143, 152, 160, 172, 174, 183, 190, 197, 226, 238, 240, 276, 285, 286, 306, 320, 330, 341, 350, 380, 420, 540, 571, 611.

This Administrative Motion pertains to the following information contained in the Transcript:

Documents Sought to Be Sealed	Portions to be Filed Under Seal	Party Claiming Confidentiality
May 3, 2022 Transcript of	Portions Highlighted at:	Google
Sealed Proceedings		
	Pages 16:4-5, 23:21, 28:7, 33:22,	
	35:11, 38:8, 38:17, 38:21, 39:17,	
	41:17, 43:14, 44:13, 45:15-16, 46:4,	
	46:10, 46:19, 48:8, 48:12-13,	
	48:15-18, 48:20-22, 50:11, 50:21,	
	52:21-23, 54:5-7, 54:18-19, 55:1,	
	55:3, 55:5, 58:5-6, 58:9, 58:19,	
	58:22-24, 59:2, 59:12-13, 59:15,	
	60:6, 60:15, 61:2, 61:9-10, 62:10,	
	63:1, 63:6, 63:14-15, 64:9-10,	
	64:13-14, 64:18	

II. LEGAL STANDARD

The common law right of public access to judicial records in a civil case is not a constitutional right and it is "not absolute." *Nixon v. Warner Communications, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978) (noting that the "right to inspect and copy judicial records is not absolute" and that "courts have refused to permit their files to serve as reservoirs of . . . sources of business information that might harm a litigant's competitive standing"). Sealing is appropriate when the information at issue constitutes "competitively sensitive information," such as "confidential research, development, or commercial information." *France Telecom S.A. v. Marvell Semiconductor Inc.*, 2014 WL 4965995, at

- Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK

*4 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 3, 2014); see also Phillips v. Gen. Motors Corp., 307 F.3d 1206, 1211 (9th Cir. 2002) (acknowledging courts' "broad latitude" to "prevent disclosure of materials for many types of information, including, but not limited to, trade secrets or other confidential research, development, or commercial information").

III. THE ABOVE IDENTIFIED MATERIALS SHOULD ALL BE SEALED

Courts have repeatedly found it appropriate to seal documents that contain medical information or "business information that might harm a litigant's competitive standing." *Nixon*, 435 U.S. at 589-99; *see also Turner v. United States*, 2019 WL4732143, at *9 (finding good cause to seal "confidential medical information"). Good cause to seal is shown when a party seeks to seal materials that "contain[] confidential information about the operation of [the party's] products and that public disclosure could harm [the party] by disclosing confidential technical information." *Digital Reg. of Texas, LLC v. Adobe Sys., Inc.*, 2014 WL 6986068, at *1 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 10, 2014). Materials that could harm a litigant's competitive standing may be sealed even under the "compelling reasons" standard. *See e.g., Icon-IP Pty Ltd. v. Specialized Bicycle Components, Inc.*, 2015 WL984121, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 4, 2015) (information "is appropriately sealable under the 'compelling reasons' standard where that information could be used to the company's competitive disadvantage") (citation omitted).

Here, the Transcript comprises confidential information regarding highly sensitive features of Google's internal systems and operations that Google does not share publicly. Specifically, this information provides details related to Google's internal projects, internal identifiers, data signals and logs, and their proprietary functionalities, as well as internal metrics. Such information reveals Google's internal strategies, system designs, and business practices for operating and maintaining many of its important services while complying with legal and privacy obligations.

Public disclosure of the above-listed information would harm Google's competitive standing it has earned through years of innovation and careful deliberation, by revealing sensitive aspects of Google's proprietary systems, strategies, designs, and practices to Google's competitors. That alone is a proper basis to seal such information. *See, e.g., Free Range Content, Inc. v. Google Inc.*, No. 14-cv-02329-BLF, Dkt. No. 192, at 3-9 (N.D. Cal. May 3, 2017) (granting Google's motion to seal certain

1	sensitive business information related to Google's processes and policies to ensure the integrity and		
2	security of a different advertising system); Huawei Techs., Co. v. Samsung Elecs. Co., No. 3:16-cv-		
3	02787-WHO, Dkt. No. 446, at 19 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 30, 2019) (sealing confidential sales data because		
4	"disclosure would harm their competitive standing by giving competitors insight they do not have");		
5	Trotsky v. Travelers Indem. Co., 2013 WL 12116153, at *8 (W.D. Wash. May 8, 2013) (granting		
6	motion to seal as to "internal research results that disclose statistical coding that is not publically		
7	available").		
8	Moreover, if publicly disclosed, malicious actors may use such information to seek to		
9	compromise Google's internal systems and data structures. Google would be placed at an increased		
10	risk of cybersecurity threats, and data related to its users could similarly be at risk. See, e.g., In re		
11	Google Inc. Gmail Litig., 2013 WL 5366963, at *3 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 25, 2013) (sealing "material"		
12	concern[ing] how users' interactions with the Gmail system affects how messages are transmitted"		
13	because if made public, it "could lead to a breach in the security of the Gmail system"). The security		
14	threat is an additional reason for this Court to seal the identified information.		
15	The information Google seeks to redact is the minimal amount of information needed to		
16	protect its internal systems and operations from being exposed to not only its competitors but also to		
17	nefarious actors who may improperly seek access to and disrupt these systems and operations. The		
18	"good cause" rather than the "compelling reasons" standard should apply but under either standard,		
19	Google's sealing request is warranted.		
20	IV. CONCLUSION		
21	For the foregoing reasons, Google respectfully requests that the Court seal the identified		
22	portions of the Transcript.		
23			
24	DATED: July 25, 2022 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP		
25	By /s/ Andrew H. Schapiro		

26

27

28

1	Andrew H. Schapiro (admitted pro hac vice)
2	andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com
	191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700 Chicago, IL 60606
3	Telephone: (312) 705-7400
4	Facsimile: (312) 705-7401
5	Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605)
6	stephenbroome@quinnemanuel.com
0	Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526) violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com
7	Crystal Nix-Hines (Bar No. 326971)
8	crystalnixhines@quinnemanuel.com
9	Marie Hayrapetian (CA Bar No. 315797) mariehayrapetian@quinnemanuel.com
7	865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
10	Los Angeles, CA 90017
11	Telephone: (213) 443-3000
12	Facsimile: (213) 443-3100
	Diane M. Doolittle (CA Bar No. 142046)
13	dianedoolittle@quinnemanuel.com
14	555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor Redwood Shores, CA 94065
15	Telephone: (650) 801-5000
	Facsimile: (650) 801-5100
16	Josef Ansorge (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
17	josefansorge@quinnemanuel.com
18	1300 I. Street, N.W., Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20005
	Telephone: 202-538-8000
19	Facsimile: 202-538-8100
20	Jomaire A. Crawford (admitted pro hac vice)
21	jomairecrawford@quinnemanuel.com 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
22	New York, NY 10010
22	Telephone: (212) 849-7000 Facsimile: (212) 849-7100
23	T (GA P N 205450)
24	Jonathan Tse (CA Bar No. 305468) jonathantse@quinnemanuel.com
25	50 California Street, 22nd Floor
	San Francisco, CA 94111
26	Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700
27	1 acsimile. (+13) 873-0700
28	Attorneys for Defendant Google LLC
_	-4- Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SV
	-4- Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SV

GOOGLE LLC'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL PORTIONS OF THE MAY 3, 2022 HEARING TRANSCRIPT